

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/731,296	12/09	/2003	Christopher B. Reynolds	BUR920030102US1 (IBU-10-5	3650	
26681	7590	08/09/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
DRIGGS, LUCAS, BRUBAKER & HOGG CO. L.P.A.				LOKE, STEV	LOKE, STEVEN HO YIN	
38500 CHA	RDON ROAD				<u>.</u> .	
DEPT. IEN				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WILLOUG	HBY HILLS,	OH 44094		2811		

DATE MAILED: 08/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			Hi				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Antique Occurrence	10/731,296	REYNOLDS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Steven Loke	2811					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of thiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27</u>	<i>May 2005</i> .						
· <del></del>	nis action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	•	• •					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.t	J. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1 and 5-23 is/are pending in the ap	plication.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 21-23 is/are withdr	awn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) 7-12 is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 1,5,6,13,15,16 and 18-20 is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14 and 17</u> is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	i/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 May 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority docume							
2. Certified copies of the priority docume							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	•	received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bure  * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	received					
occ the attached detailed Office action for a li	or or the contined copies no	.10001104.					
Address on Artis							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T Interview	Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		(s)/Mail Date					

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

6) Other: \_\_\_\_.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/731,296 Page 2

Art Unit: 2811

1. Claims 1, 5, 6, 16 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, line 5, the phrase "its functionality" is unclear as to what functionality is it being referred to.

Claim 16, line 1, the word "mass" is unclear as to what is it being referred to.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Schulz et al. (EP 0 271 596 A1 in the IDS filed on 12/9/03).

In regards to claim 13, Schulz et al. show all the elements of the claimed invention in fig. 4. It is a macro (A-H, J-K) for use in a field programmable gate array (the gate array that can be used to form logic, RAM and ROS (col. 8, lines 30-32)) embedded in a semiconductor chip, said macro containing a plurality of slices (A-H, J-K), each of which has a unique porosity factor representing wiring channel within the slice (col. 5, lines 14-16), the slices positioned at locations within the chip wherein the number of wiring channels in each slice corresponds to the number of circuit lines (global wires) in the chip passing through the macro at each location within the macro.

In regards to claim 15, Schulz et al. further disclose each of the slices is composed of a plurality of functional blocks (transfer circuits) (col. 5, lines 28-42).

Application/Control Number: 10/731,296 Page 3

Art Unit: 2811

4. Applicant's arguments filed 5/27/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

It is urged, in page 10 of the remarks, that functionality refers to blocks, not the slices, in claim 1. However, claim 1 has not amended to overcome the vague definition of the phrase "its functionality".

- 5. Claims 7-12 are allowed.
- 6. Claim 14 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The additional major difference in the claims not found in the prior art of record is each of the slices is pre-wired before it is assembled into the macro.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Loke whose telephone number is (571) 272-1657. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:20 am to 5:50 pm.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/731,296

Art Unit: 2811

sl

August 7, 2005

Ciovan Leia

Page 4

Stever Loko